

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8
9

10
11 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12
13

14 THE FAMILY OF LELA KAYE HORNER, No. C 07-03441 WDB
15 Plaintiff,
16 v.
17
18 KEYSTONE AMERICA INC.,
19 Defendant.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26 G:\WDBLC2\2009\Horner Trial\Court proposed jury instructions.wpd
27
28

**COURT'S PROPOSED JURY
INSTRUCTIONS**

1 **1.1B DUTY OF JURY (COURT READS INSTRUCTIONS ONLY)**

2 Ladies and gentlemen: You are now the jury in this case. It is my duty to instruct you
3 on the law.

4 You must not infer from these instructions or from anything I may say or do as
5 indicating that I have an opinion regarding the evidence or what your verdict should be.

6 It is your duty to find the facts from all the evidence in the case. To those facts you
7 will apply the law as I give it to you. You must follow the law as I give it to you whether
8 you agree with it or not. And you must not be influenced by any personal likes or dislikes,
9 opinions, prejudices, or sympathy. That means that you must decide the case solely on the
10 evidence before you. You will recall that you took an oath to do so.

11 In following my instructions, you must follow all of them and not single out some and
12 ignore others; they are all important.

1 **1.1C DUTY OF JURY (COURT READS AND PROVIDES WRITTEN**
2 **INSTRUCTIONS AT END OF CASE)**

3 Members of the Jury: Now that you have heard all of the evidence, it is my duty to
4 instruct you as to the law of the case.

5 Each of you will be provided a copy of these instructions that you may take with you
6 to the jury room to consult during your deliberations.

7 You must not infer from these instructions or from anything I may say or do as
8 indicating that I have an opinion regarding the evidence or what your verdict should be.

9 It is your duty to find the facts from all the evidence in the case. To those facts you
10 will apply the law as I give it to you. You must follow the law as I give it to you whether
11 you agree with it or not. And you must not be influenced by any personal likes or dislikes,
12 opinions, prejudices, or sympathy. That means that you must decide the case solely on the
13 evidence before you. You will recall that you took an oath to do so.

14 In following my instructions, you must follow all of them and not single out some and
15 ignore others; they are all important.

1 **THE TWO KINDS OF CLAIMS PRESENTED BY PLAINTIFFS**

2 The plaintiffs in this case assert two kinds of claims against defendant Keystone.
3 In the first set of claims, plaintiffs allege that defendant breached duties it acquired
4 when it entered the contract with Lela Horner's family to provide funeral services. In
5 the second kind of claim, plaintiffs allege that the way defendant's embalmer
6 performed the embalming of Lela Horner was professionally negligent. We will
7 provide separate instructions for each of these different kinds of claims.

8

9 **THE CLAIMS ARISING OUT OF THE CONTRACT**
10 **TO PROVIDE FUNERAL SERVICES**

11 Plaintiffs claim that defendant breached the contract between the Horners and
12 the
13 mortuary in two different ways. We will discuss these separately.

14 In its contract with the Horners, defendant (through its agents) made
15 commitments to perform certain tasks. Plaintiffs contend that defendant's agents failed
16 to perform some of those tasks and failed to perform others in time to achieve their
17 purposes. Defendant breached the contract if you find that defendant's agents did not
18 perform tasks that they promised in the contract to perform or that they performed
19 promised tasks too late to achieve their intended purposes. A mortuary that failed to
20 perform promised tasks, or that performed them too late to achieve their intended
21 purposes, is liable for economic damages that plaintiffs prove they suffered as a result
22 of the breach or breaches.

23 The second claim that is rooted in the contract with the mortuary arises out of a
24 set of obligations that may not be set forth explicitly in the contract but that California
25 law superimposes on every contract to provide funeral services. California law imposes
26 an additional duty on the mortuary that relates to the manner in which the mortuary
27 goes about providing the services that are expressly called for in the contract. As part
28 of this duty, which is based on the implicitly understood expectations of the parties, a
mortuary must provide its services in the appropriate and dignified manner that

1 bereaved family members normally anticipate. Thus, the law requires the mortuary to
2 meet its contractual responsibilities in a manner that is considerate and is respectful of
3 the feelings of the bereaved. This duty is not limited to the conduct of the funeral rites,
4 but extends through arranging the commitment of the remains through burial. If a
5 mortuary breaches this duty it is liable for damages, including emotional distress, that
6 family members prove they suffered as a result of the breach.

7

8 THE CLAIMS BASED ON ALLEGED PROFESSIONAL NEGLIGENCE

9 The second type of claim that the plaintiffs present in this case is based on alleged
10 professional negligence by the mortuary in the way it performed the embalming of Lela
11 Horner. Embalming involves the use of specialized training and skills that are rooted
12 in science. An embalmer is a professional who is expected to perform in conformance
13 with the standards the profession. An embalmer is negligent if she fails to use the skill
14 and care that a reasonably careful embalmer would use in similar circumstances. We
15 refer to this level of skill, knowledge, and care as "the standard of care." Work that
16 fails to measure up to the applicable standard of care constitutes professional
17 negligence.

18 What the standard of care is in any given set of circumstances is a matter for the
19 jury to determine -- based only on the evidence about the standard of care that is
20 admitted during the trial. Thus, you, the jury, will determine the level of skill and care
21 that reasonably careful embalmers would be expected to use in the circumstances that
22 you find the mortuary defendant encountered in this case.

23 If the plaintiffs prove that the way Lela Horner was embalmed fell below the
24 applicable standard of care, defendant is liable for damages, including emotional
25 distress, that plaintiffs prove were caused by the breach of that standard.

26

27

28

1 [add more stips]

2 **2.2 STIPULATIONS OF FACT**

3 The parties have agreed to certain facts that will be read to you. You should therefore
4 treat these facts as having been proved.

- 5 1. Defendant entered into a contract for funeral related services with Plaintiff
6 Harold Horner.
7
8 2. Harold Horner did not view of the body of his wife after it arrived in Colorado.

1 **4.1 CORPORATIONS — EQUAL TREATMENT**

2 All parties are equal before the law, and a party that is a corporation is entitled to the
3 same fair and conscientious consideration by you as any other kind of party.

1 [Modified to be case specific]

2 **4.2 SCOPE OF LIABILITY OF CORPORATE DEFENDANT**

3 Under the law, a corporation is considered to be a person. It can act only through its
4 employees, agents, directors, or officers. Therefore, a corporation is responsible for the acts
5 of its employees, agents, directors, and officers performed within the scope of authority.

6 In this case, Keystone America, Inc., the defendant corporation, is responsible for acts
7 or omissions by the Jones & Lewis Mortuary, by Bryan Anderson, or by Jeanette Sousa.

1 [modified]
2
3

4 **CAUSATION: SUBSTANTIAL FACTOR**
5
6

7 A party proves that an act, omission, or course of conduct constituted “a substantial
8 factor” in causing harm if that party proves, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the act,
9 omission, or course of conduct contributed to the harm occurring and did so more than
10 trivially or remotely.
11

12 An act, omission, or course of conduct can be “a substantial factor” in causing harm
13 even if it is not the only cause of that harm, and even if one or more other acts, omissions, or
14 courses of conduct also is proved to be “a substantial factor” in causing the same harm.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 [modified]

2 **APPORTIONMENT OF RESPONSIBILITY**

3 Defendant claims that professional negligence by the mortician in Colorado was a
4 substantial factor in causing the plaintiffs' harm. To succeed on this claim, defendant must
5 prove both of the following:

- 6 1. That the way the Colorado mortician performed his tasks in this case fell below the
7 applicable standard of care -- thereby being professionally negligent; and
8 2. That his professional negligence was a substantial factor in causing the plaintiffs'
9 harm.

10 If you conclude that plaintiffs proved that the work of the embalmers at the Jones and
11 Lewis mortuary fell below the applicable standard of care and that that shortfall in
12 performance was a substantial factor in causing harm suffered by plaintiffs, but if you also
13 conclude that defendant proved that the Colorado mortician was professionally negligent and
14 that his negligence also was a substantial factor in causing plaintiffs' harm, then you will
15 need to decide how much responsibility to assign to each of the two instances of professional
16 negligence.

17 The special interrogatories will guide you in making this determination. Those
18 interrogatories will ask you first to determine, separately for each plaintiff, the total amount
19 of damages (if any) each plaintiff has proved he or she suffered as a result of the combined
20 effect of all the professional negligence by the embalmers/morticians. After making that
21 determination separately for each plaintiff, you will proceed to decide how much
22 responsibility to allocate for that total harm to defendant and how much to allocate to the
23 Colorado mortician. In other words, you will decide, separately for each plaintiff, how
24 much the total harm that he or she suffered as a result of negligent conduct by
25 embalmers/morticians was caused by (fairly attributable to) the below professional-par work
26 of the defendant's agents and how much of that total harm was caused by (fairly attributable
27 to) the below professional-par work by the Colorado mortician. You will express your
28 apportionment in terms of percentages – allocating a percentage to defendant and a

1 percentage to the Colorado mortician. For each plaintiff, the total of these percentages must
2 be 100%.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 [could the evidence support the kinds of findings necessary for this defense?]

2 **CAUSATION - THIRD PARTY CONDUCT AS SUPERSEDING CAUSE**

3 Defendant claims that it is not responsible for plaintiffs' harm because of the later
4 misconduct of the MULLARE MURPHY FUNERAL HOME. To avoid legal responsibility
5 for the harm plaintiffs suffered, defendant must prove all of the following:

- 6 1. That MULLARE MURPHY FUNERAL HOME's conduct occurred after the
7 conduct of defendant;
- 8 2. That a reasonable person, knowledgeable in the profession, would consider
9 MULLARE MURPHY FUNERAL HOME's conduct not only professionally negligent, but
10 also as a highly unusual or an extraordinary response to the situation;
- 11 3. That defendant did not know and had no reason to expect that MULLARE
12 MURPHY FUNERAL HOME would act in the manner it did; and
- 13 4. That the kind of harm resulting from MULLARE MURPHY FUNERAL
14 HOME's conduct was different from the kind of harm that a person knowledgeable in the
15 profession could have reasonably expected defendant's conduct to cause.

DAMAGES – PROOF

It is the duty of the Court to give you instructions in the law about damages. In giving these instructions, the Court does not mean to suggest for which party your verdict should be rendered.

If you find that a plaintiff has proved one or more claims, you must determine whether that plaintiff also has proved that he or she suffered damages and, if so, what amount of compensation is appropriate. A plaintiff has the burden of proving damages by a preponderance of the evidence.

“Damages” means the amount of money that will reasonably and fairly compensate a plaintiff for harm that was caused by a defendant’s conduct that violated the plaintiff’s rights.

Generally, damages can be divided into two categories: (1) harms with measurable adverse economic effects, and (2) harms to intangibles, like emotions.

A plaintiff is entitled to recover damages for economic harms when she proves that a defendant’s wrongful conduct caused her to suffer economic losses in the past or that the defendant’s wrongful conduct is reasonably certain to cause her to suffer economic losses in the future. Examples of these kinds of damages could include lost wages or medical bills.

The other principal category of damages that the law recognizes consists of harms to intangibles – like emotions – for which we have no ready quantitative measures. The law refers to these kinds of harms as “general damages,” or damages for “emotional distress” or for “pain and suffering.” For purposes of this case, you may consider these labels interchangeable.

It is for you to determine what damages, if any, a plaintiff proves. A damages award must be based upon evidence and not upon speculation, guesswork or conjecture.

DAMAGES FOR EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

The term "emotional distress" includes a person's subjective experience of physical pain and all other kinds of unpleasant mental or emotional suffering, e.g., depression, sadness, fear, grief, anxiety, worry, shock, humiliation or indignity.

You may award reasonable compensation for emotional distress damages to a plaintiff if that plaintiff proves, by a preponderance of the evidence, that wrongful conduct by a defendant caused the plaintiff to suffer the emotional distress in the past or is reasonably certain to cause the emotional distress in the future. You may not award damages for future harm that a plaintiff proves is possible but fails to prove is reasonably certain to occur.

There are no mathematical formulas or scientific means or standards for determining the amount of money that will fairly compensate a plaintiff for emotional distress damages. It follows that how much money should be awarded as fair compensation for any particular emotional distress is not a matter to be determined by expert opinion. Instead, it is for you, the jury, as the voice of the community, to decide what amount of money represents fair compensation for any particular emotional harm that a plaintiff proves he or she has suffered or is reasonably certain to suffer in the future because of wrongdoing by a defendant.

1 **1.3 BURDEN OF PROOF—PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE**

2 When a party has the burden of proof on any claim or affirmative defense by a
3 preponderance of the evidence, it means you must be persuaded by the evidence that the
4 claim or affirmative defense is more probably true than not true.

5 You should base your decision on all of the evidence, regardless of which party
6 presented it.

1 **1.6 WHAT IS EVIDENCE**

2 The evidence you are to consider in deciding what the facts are consists of:

- 3 1. the sworn testimony of any witness;
- 4 2. the exhibits which are received into evidence; and
- 5 3. any facts to which the parties have stipulated.

1.7 WHAT IS NOT EVIDENCE

2 In reaching your verdict, you may consider only the testimony and exhibits received
3 into evidence. Certain things are not evidence, and you may not consider them in deciding
4 what the facts are. I will list them for you:

- 5 (1) Arguments and statements by lawyers are not evidence. The lawyers are not
6 witnesses. What they have said in their opening statements, or will say in their closing
7 arguments, and at other times is intended to help you interpret the evidence, but it is
8 not evidence. If the facts as you remember them differ from the way the lawyers have
9 stated them, your memory of them controls.
- 10 (2) Questions and objections by lawyers are not evidence. Attorneys have a duty to
11 their clients to object when they believe a question is improper under the rules of
12 evidence. You should not be influenced by the objection or by the court's ruling on it.
- 13 (3) Testimony that has been excluded or stricken, or that you have been instructed to
14 disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered. In addition sometimes
15 testimony and exhibits are received only for a limited purpose; when I give a limiting
16 instruction, you must follow it.
- 17 (4) Anything you may have seen or heard when the court was not in session is not
18 evidence. You are to decide the case solely on the evidence received at the trial.

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 **1.9 DIRECT AND CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE**

2 Evidence may be direct or circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact,
3 such as testimony by a witness about what that witness personally saw or heard or did.
4 Circumstantial evidence is proof of one or more facts from which you could find another
5 fact. You should consider both kinds of evidence. The law makes no distinction between the
6 weight to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence. It is for you to decide how
7 much weight to give to any evidence.

1 **1.8 EVIDENCE FOR LIMITED PURPOSE**

2 Some evidence may be admitted for a limited purpose only.

3 When I instruct you that an item of evidence has been admitted for a limited purpose,
4 you must consider it only for that limited purpose and for no other.

1 **2.10 USE OF A PARTY'S ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES**

2 Evidence may be presented to you in the form of answers by one the parties to written
3 interrogatories (questions) submitted by the other side. These answers have been given in
4 writing and under oath, before the actual trial, in response to questions that were submitted in
5 writing under established court procedures. You should consider the answers, insofar as
6 possible, in the same way as if they were given from the witness stand.

1 **2.12 CHARTS AND SUMMARIES NOT RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE**

2 Certain charts and summaries not received in evidence may be shown to you in order
3 to help explain the contents of books, records, documents, or other evidence in the case.
4 They are not themselves evidence or proof of any facts. If they do not correctly reflect the
5 facts or figures shown by the evidence in the case, you should disregard these charts and
6 summaries and determine the facts from the underlying evidence.

1 **2.13 CHARTS AND SUMMARIES IN EVIDENCE**

2 Certain charts and summaries may be received into evidence to illustrate information
3 brought out in the trial. Charts and summaries are only as good as the underlying evidence
4 that supports them. You should, therefore, give them only such weight as you think the
5 underlying evidence deserves.

2.4 DEPOSITION IN LIEU OF LIVE TESTIMONY

A deposition is the sworn testimony of a witness taken before trial. The witness is placed under oath to tell the truth and lawyers for each party may ask questions. The questions and answers are recorded. When a person is unavailable to testify at trial, the deposition of that person may be used at the trial.

The deposition of Jeanette Scott Sousa was taken on April 14, 2008. You should consider her deposition testimony that is presented to you in court in lieu of live testimony, insofar as possible, in the same way as if she had been present to testify.

The deposition of Thomas Murphy's was taken on April 15, 2008. You should consider his deposition testimony that is presented to you in court in lieu of live testimony, insofar as possible, in the same way as if he had been present to testify.

1 **1.11 CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES**

2 In deciding the facts in this case, you may have to decide which testimony to believe
3 and which testimony not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says, or part of it,
4 or none of it. Proof of a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who
5 testify about it.

6 In considering the testimony of any witness, you may take into account:

- 7 (1) the opportunity and ability of the witness to see or hear or know the things testified
8 to;
- 9 (2) the witness's memory;
- 10 (3) the witness's manner while testifying;
- 11 (4) the witness's interest in the outcome of the case and any bias or prejudice;
- 12 (5) whether other evidence contradicted the witness's testimony;
- 13 (6) the reasonableness of the witness's testimony in light of all the evidence; and
- 14 (7) any other factors that bear on believability.

15 The weight of the evidence as to a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of
16 witnesses who testify about it.

1 [modified, elaborated]

2 **2.11 EXPERT OPINION**

3 Some witnesses, because of education or experience, are permitted to state opinions
4 and the reasons for those opinions.

5 Opinion testimony should be judged just like any other testimony. You may accept it
6 or reject it, and give it as much weight as you think it deserves, considering the witness's
7 education and experience, the reasons given for the opinion, and all the other evidence in the
8 case.

9 In assessing opinion evidence, you should consider the quality and completeness of
10 the data on which it is based and the quality of the reasoning from or with that data. You
11 also should assess the sources and reliability of any assumptions or theories that play a role
12 in the selection of the data underlying the expert's opinion and in the line of reasoning from
13 that data to the expert's opinion.

14 It also is important to attend carefully to the character of the lawyers' questions in
15 response to which experts present their opinions. The law allows expert witnesses to be
16 asked questions that are based on assumed facts. These are sometimes called "hypothetical
17 questions." In determining the weight to give to an expert's opinion that is based on
18 assumed facts, you should consider whether the assumed facts are true.

19 In addition, try to identify any assumptions or theories that inform the lawyers'
20 questions, and assess the reliability or accuracy of any such assumptions or theories that are
21 incorporated into the questions.

22 Also consider whether the questions are clear and/or compound, as it is likely to be be
23 clear what opinion or information the expert is offering, or how useful or reliable that
24 opinion or information might be, if the question that elicits the opinion is unclear or
25 compound.

26

27

28

1.10 RULING ON OBJECTIONS

2 There are rules of evidence that control what can be received into evidence. When a
3 lawyer asks a question or offers an exhibit into evidence and a lawyer on the other side thinks
4 that it is not permitted by the rules of evidence, that lawyer may object. If I overrule the
5 objection, the question may be answered or the exhibit received. If I sustain the objection,
6 the question cannot be answered, and the exhibit cannot be received. Whenever I sustain an
7 objection to a question, you must ignore the question and must not guess what the answer
8 might have been.

Sometimes I may order that evidence be stricken from the record and that you disregard or ignore the evidence. That means that when you are deciding the case, you must not consider the evidence that I told you to disregard.

1 **1.18 BENCH CONFERENCES AND RECESSES**

2 From time to time during the trial, it may become necessary for me to talk with the
3 attorneys out of the hearing of the jury, either by having a conference at the bench when the
4 jury is present in the courtroom, or by calling a recess. Please understand that while you are
5 waiting, we are working. The purpose of these conferences is not to keep relevant
6 information from you, but to decide how certain evidence is to be treated under the rules of
7 evidence and to avoid confusion and error.

8 Of course, we will do what we can to keep the number and length of these conferences
9 to a minimum. I may not always grant an attorney's request for a conference. Do not
10 consider my granting or denying a request for a conference as any indication of my opinion
11 of the case or of what your verdict should be.

1 **1.13 NO TRANSCRIPT AVAILABLE TO JURY**

2 During deliberations, you will have to make your decision based on what you recall of
3 the evidence. You will not have a transcript of the trial. I urge you to pay close attention to
4 the testimony as it is given.

5 If at any time you cannot hear or see the testimony, evidence, questions or arguments,
6 let me know so that I can correct the problem.

1.14 TAKING NOTES

If you wish, you may take notes to help you remember the evidence. If you do take notes, please keep them to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to decide the case. Do not let note-taking distract you. When you leave, your notes should be left in the courtroom. No one will read your notes. They will be destroyed at the conclusion of the case.

7 Whether or not you take notes, you should rely on your own memory of the evidence.
8 Notes are only to assist your memory. You should not be overly influenced by your notes or
9 those of your fellow jurors.

1.12 CONDUCT OF THE JURY

2 I will now say a few words about your conduct as jurors.

3 First, you are not to discuss this case with anyone, including members of your family,
4 people involved in the trial, or anyone else; this includes discussing the case in internet chat
5 rooms or through internet "blogs," internet bulletin boards or e-mails. Nor are you allowed
6 to permit others to discuss the case with you. If anyone approaches you and tries to talk to
7 you about the case, please let me know about it immediately;

8 Second, do not read or listen to any news stories, articles, radio, television, or online
9 reports about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with it;

10 Third, do not do any research, such as consulting dictionaries, searching the Internet
11 or using other reference materials, and do not make any investigation about the case on your
12 own;

13 Fourth, if you need to communicate with me simply give a signed note to the bailiff to
14 give to me; and

15 Fifth, do not make up your mind about what the verdict should be until after you have
16 gone to the jury room to decide the case and you and your fellow jurors have discussed the
17 evidence. Keep an open mind until then.

18 Finally, until this case is given to you for your deliberation and verdict, you are not to
19 discuss the case with your fellow jurors.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3.2 COMMUNICATION WITH COURT

If it becomes necessary during your deliberations to communicate with me, you may send a note through the bailiff, signed by your presiding juror or by one or more members of the jury. No member of the jury should ever attempt to communicate with me except by a signed writing; I will communicate with any member of the jury on anything concerning the case only in writing, or here in open court. If you send out a question, I will consult with the parties before answering it, which may take some time. You may continue your deliberations while waiting for the answer to any question. Remember that you are not to tell anyone—including me—how the jury stands, numerically or otherwise, until after you have reached a unanimous verdict or have been discharged. Do not disclose any vote count in any note to the court.

1 **1.19 OUTLINE OF TRIAL**

2 Trials proceed in the following way: First, each side may make an opening statement.
3 An opening statement is not evidence. It is simply an outline to help you understand what
4 that party expects the evidence will show. A party is not required to make an opening
5 statement.

6 The plaintiff will then present evidence, and counsel for the defendant may
7 cross-examine. Then the defendant may present evidence, and counsel for the plaintiff may
8 cross-examine.

9 After the evidence has been presented, I will instruct you on the law that applies to the
10 case and the attorneys will make closing arguments.

11 After that, you will go to the jury room to deliberate on your verdict.

3.1 DUTY TO DELIBERATE

When you begin your deliberations, you should elect one member of the jury as your presiding juror. That person will preside over the deliberations and speak for you here in court.

You will then discuss the case with your fellow jurors to reach agreement if you can do so. Your verdict must be unanimous.

Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but you should do so only after you have considered all of the evidence, discussed it fully with the other jurors, and listened to the views of your fellow jurors.

Do not hesitate to change your opinion if the discussion persuades you that you should. Do not come to a decision simply because other jurors think it is right.

It is important that you attempt to reach a unanimous verdict but, of course, only if each of you can do so after having made your own conscientious decision. Do not change an honest belief about the weight and effect of the evidence simply to reach a verdict.

1 **3.3 RETURN OF VERDICT**

2 A verdict form has been prepared for you. After you have reached unanimous
3 agreement on a verdict, your presiding juror will fill in the form that has been given to you,
4 sign and date it, and advise the court that you are ready to return to the courtroom.

3.5 DEADLOCKED JURY

Members of the jury, you have advised that you have been unable to agree upon a verdict in this case. I have decided to suggest a few thoughts to you.

As jurors, you have a duty to discuss the case with one another and to deliberate in an effort to reach a unanimous verdict if each of you can do so without violating your individual judgment and conscience. Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but only after you consider the evidence impartially with your fellow jurors. During your deliberations, you should not hesitate to reexamine your own views and change your opinion if you become persuaded that it is wrong. However, you should not change an honest belief as to the weight or effect of the evidence solely because of the opinions of your fellow jurors or for the mere purpose of returning a verdict.

All of you are equally honest and conscientious jurors who have heard the same evidence. All of you share an equal desire to arrive at a verdict. Each of you should ask yourself whether you should question the correctness of your present position.

I remind you that in your deliberations you are to consider the instructions I have given you as a whole. You should not single out any part of any instruction, including this one, and ignore others. They are all equally important.

You may now retire and continue your deliberations.